

---

# Contributing to the CRA Standardization Process

M. August Bournique

---

---

# M. August Bournique

---

Amsterdam Based Consultant and  
Attorney

- California Licensed Attorney
- Litigation and risk management
- 7 years of working with tech
- ETSI Special Rapporteur on CRA  
Verticals

**DISCLAIMER:** The presentation is general information and not legal advice about your specific situation. I am not your lawyer.

Everything in this presentation is my own opinion and not that of my clients or any official body.

# What is the CRA again...

## EU WIDE PRODUCT REGULATION

- Digital products placed on the EU market
- Software and hardware ... not services

## GOALS OF THE CRA

- Transparency around product security and breaches
- Safer software and hardware
- The transformation of software into a “regulated industry”
- EU digital sovereignty

---

# Is the CRA a risk for me and my FOSS project?

---

NO ...

## THE CRA DOESN'T COVER FOSS

- Traditional FOSS Projects aren't "Products placed on the market"
- Project contributors are free of liability

UNLESS...

- The FOSS is "commercial" and provided "for distribution or use on the Union market in the course of a commercial activity, whether in return for payment or free of charge"
- The project is integrated as a component of a commercial product and provided for profit

# Why should FOSS projects care about the CRA?

## PRESSURES ON FOSS

- Some FOSS is commercial
- Integrators and partners will want FOSS that complies with the CRA

## POTENTIAL BENEFITS TO FOSS

- CRA and standardization have FOSS input
- Stewards a new form of market actor that could benefit FOSS organizations
- Potential for funding, both for compliance and maintainers

# CRA Standardization

## THE PROCESS

- Request from European Commission (EC)
- Written by Standards Organizations (ESOs)
- EC Harmonization consultants and public comment
- EC approval as freely available harmonized standard

## THE ADVANTAGE OF A HARMONIZED STANDARD

- Allows “Self-Assessment” using harmonized standard for almost all FOSS products
- Shifts burden of proof for liability when product follows a harmonized standard

# Over thirty CRA standards are in process

3 HORIZONTAL STANDARDS

1. Principles for Cyber Resilience
2. Generic Security Requirements
3. Vulnerability Handling

30 PLUS VERTICAL STANDARDS

1. Identity Management
2. Browsers
3. AntiVirus Software
4. VPNS (Consumer & Enterprise)
5. Network Management Systems
6. SIEM
7. Boot Managers
8. PKI
9. **Operating Systems**
10. Internet of Things (4 Standards)
11. **Physical and Virtual Interfaces**
12. Hypervisors and Containers
13. Internet Equipment (Routers etc.)
14. Firewalls ....  
Smartcards, Secure Gateways, and more....

---

# Focus on verticals

---

## THE VERTICAL STANDARDS ARE MORE IMPORTANT TO FOSS

- More likely to obtain harmonization
- Standards likely expand to cover additional product types
- Verticals look at individual product types not aggregate products
- Can provide clarification for components
- More space for contributions and technical expertise
- Design process is more open to public, SMEs and FOSS

# Vertical standard structure

## PRODUCT BASED STANDARDS

- Standards can only cover the product itself, not the process of its design or use

## RISK FOCUSED STRUCTURE

- Products are defined by Core Functionality
- Risk Factors derived from low, medium, and high risk uses
- Security Requirements cover risks associated with Risk Factors
- Mitigations (tests, features, and documentation) to meet security requirements
- Sample Use Cases to help manufacturers determine which set of Factors, Requirements and Mitigations are appropriate to their product.

---

# Example of the vertical approach

---

## A NETWORK INTERFACES STANDARD (EN 304 625) SAMPLE

- **Risk Factor**  
[NET], The degree of public access to attached network. Rated from “NET L-0” (private) to “NET L-3” (public).
- **Security Requirement**  
MI-SSCA: Static source code analysis for memory errors
- **Mitigation**  
All software and firmware in the product shall be checked for (listed) memory errors using a source code analysis tool
- **Use Case**  
When a the product has a [NET] greater than “NET L-0”

# How to Get Involved...

## REVIEW AND COMMENT ON VERTICAL STANDARDS

- Early draft standards are available for review at ETSI Labs  
<https://labs.etsi.org/rep/stan4cra>
- All final draft standards will be available for comment next month on the ETSI Labs

## GET MORE INVOLVED

- Talk to OSI, Linux and Eclipse Foundations (or other ESO members) about being named a delegate (technical contributor) to a specific vertical standard effort or possibly the Horizontals
- Seek a grant from Cyberstand to join ETSI or CEN-CENELEC and attend meetings <https://cyberstand.eu>

---

# Resources

---

**MY LINKEDIN**

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/august-bournique-668b66165/>

**MY WEBSITE**

<https://bourniquelaw.com/>

**ETSI LABS**

<https://labs.etsi.org/>

**CYBERSTAND.EU**

<https://cyberstand.eu/>

**JOINT STANDARDIZATION WEBSITE**

<https://www.stan4cra.eu/>

**BSI CRA DASHBOARD**

[https://www.bsi.bund.de/SharedDocs/Downloads/EN/BSI/CRA/Dashboard\\_CRA.pdf?\\_\\_blob=publicationFile&v=2](https://www.bsi.bund.de/SharedDocs/Downloads/EN/BSI/CRA/Dashboard_CRA.pdf?__blob=publicationFile&v=2)