

# Free Software and Open Science



Christian Busse

# Definitions (2019)

- **Free Software:** Software released under an FSF and/or OSI compliant license, ensuring the four freedoms to Use, Study, Share and Improve.
- **Open Science:** “Hmm... maybe this thing with the free journal articles? Or sharing of research data?”



# Pillars of Open Science

According to the UNESCO Recommendation<sup>[1]</sup>, Open Science builds on:

- open scientific knowledge
- open science infrastructures
- science communication
- open engagement of societal actors
- open dialogue with other knowledge systems

[1] UNESCO Recommendation on Open Science (2021) DOI:[10.54677/MNMFH8546](https://doi.org/10.54677/MNMFH8546)

# Open scientific knowledge

“Open scientific knowledge refers to open access to

- scientific publications,
- research data, metadata,
- open educational resources,
- software and source code and
- hardware

that are available in the public domain or under copyright and licensed under an open licence that allows access, re-use, repurpose, adaptation and distribution [...] free of charge”<sup>[1]</sup>

[1] UNESCO Recommendation on Open Science (2021) DOI:[10.54677/MNMMH8546](https://doi.org/10.54677/MNMMH8546)

# Open source software - according to UNESCO<sup>[1]</sup>

“Open source software [is] software whose source code is made publicly available [...] under an open license that grants others the right to use, access, modify, expand, study, create derivative works and share the software and its source code, design or blueprint. [...]

[W]hen open source code is a component of a research process, enabling reuse and replication generally requires that it be accompanied with open data and open specifications of the environment required to compile and run it.”<sup>[1]</sup>

[1] UNESCO Recommendation on Open Science (2021) DOI:[10.54677/MNMH8546](https://doi.org/10.54677/MNMH8546)

# Summary (I)

- The UNESCO Recommendation<sup>[1]</sup> defines software as an first-class output of the scientific process, on a par with data and scholarly communication
- The Recommendation's requirements for “open source software” match established FOSS definitions quite closely
- Additional requirements address reproducibility and unit tests

[1] UNESCO Recommendation on Open Science (2021) DOI:[10.54677/MNMH8546](https://doi.org/10.54677/MNMH8546)

# The FAIR Principles<sup>[1]</sup>

- Published in 2016<sup>[2]</sup>, the principles recommend that research data should be published in a way that it is
  - **Findable** (indexed, rich metadata, persistent identifier)
  - **Accessible** (resolv. identifier, open protocol, permanent metadata)
  - **Interoperable** (formal, shared & broadly applicable language, FAIR vocabularies)
  - **Reuseable** (community standards, provenance, licensing)
- Data should not only be FAIR for humans but also for machines
- Principles apply to data as well as to their metadata (where applicable)

[1] <https://www.go-fair.org/fair-principles/>

[2] Wilkinson et al. (2016) DOI:[10.1038/sdata.2016.18](https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2016.18)



# FAIR and FOSS are complementary paradigms

- FAIR was originally defined for data<sup>[1]</sup>, not for code<sup>[2]</sup>
- FOSS is not necessarily FAIR
- FAIR software is not necessarily FOSS
- A digital object cannot be FAIR by itself, it (typically) requires a repository

[1] DOI: [10.1038/sdata.2016.18](https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2016.18)

[2] DOI: [10.3233/DS-190026](https://doi.org/10.3233/DS-190026)

## Summary (II)

- The policy side is more or less resolved by now, we now need to work on community-controlled, publicly funded infrastructure
- Software repositories need to provide support for a FAIR ecosystem
- Publishing software via repository needs to be reinforced via the academic incentive schemes

**Questions?**

**Thank you for your attention!**